1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990 United States Attorney	
2		
3		
4	Assistant United States Attorney	
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102	
6	Telephone: (415) 436-6730 FAX: (415) 436-6927	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION
10	VICTOR VOLOVNIKOV,	)
11		) No. C 07-3607 EDL )
12	Plaintiff,	)
13	v.	<ul><li>PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE</li><li>EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR</li></ul>
14	Department of Homeland Security, MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary; U.S. Attorney General,	) PROCESS
15	ALBERTO GONZALES; United States Citizenship and Immigration Services,	) )
16	EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director; United States Citizenship and Immigration Services,	) )
17	ALFONSO AGUILAR, Chief; United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, DAVID	) )
18	STILL, District Director,	) )
19	Defendants.	<b>,</b>
20		
21	Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she	has read either the handbook entitled "Dispu
22	Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of C	California," or the specified portions of the A
22	Unit's Internet site syrvey advected uscourts gove	discussed the available dispute recolution

Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit from any of them. Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because this action is limited to plaintiff's request that this Court compel defendants to adjudicate the application for naturalization. Defendants have already requested the FBI expedite the name check so that the application may be processed as soon as possible. Given the substance Parties' Request for ADR Exemption C07-3607 EDL

24

25

26

27

28

of the action and the lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the 2 proceedings and unnecessarily tax court resources. 3 Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from the 4 ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone 5 conference and any further formal ADR process. 6 Dated: September 21, 2007 Respectfully submitted, 7 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS 8 **United States Attorney** 9 10 MELANIE L. PROCTOR Assistant United States Attorney 11 Attorneys for Defendants 12 Dated: September 21, 2007 MONICA KANE 14 Attorney for Plaintiff 15 **ORDER** 16 Pursuant to stipulation and to ADR L. R. 3-3(c), the parties are hereby removed from the ADR 17 Multi-Option Program and are excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any 18 further formal ADR process. 19 SO ORDERED. 20 Dated: 21 ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE 22 United States Magistrate Judge 23 24 25 26 27 28

Parties' Request for ADR Exemption C07-3607 EDL